

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 1243 CS Education Personnel
SPONSOR(S): Mahon
TIED BILLS: HJR 447 CS **IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** SB 1148

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) PreK-12 Committee	6 Y, 2 N, w/CS	Beagle	Mizereck
2) Education Appropriations Committee	15 Y, 0 N	Eggers	Hamon
3) Education Council	10 Y, 0 N, w/CS	Beagle	Cobb
4) _____	_____	_____	_____
5) _____	_____	_____	_____

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Regional professional development academies (RPDA) are a component of Florida's statewide system of professional development and inservice training. RPDAs collaborate with local business partners to develop educator training programs, and in turn market those programs to area teachers, administrators, schools and school districts.

RPDAs are initially funded through public matching start-up funds and must be self-sufficient after one year of operation.

The bill enables RPDAs to receive additional public funding after year one of operation and specifies that an RPDA is not part of a school district or governmental unit that it serves.

The bill has an indeterminate fiscal impact. See FISCAL ANALYSIS for details.

The bill takes effect on July 1, 2006.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provides Limited Government: The bill enables regional professional development academies to receive state funding after their first year of operation.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Present Situation:

Current Florida law provides for a coordinated system of professional development for teachers, managers, and administrators to enable the education community to meet state and local student achievement standards and state education goals.¹ Each school district must develop a professional development system and master plan for inservice activities. The Department of Education (DOE) must develop model plans, including use of student achievement data to align professional development programs with identified student needs.² School district plans must be approved by the DOE.

Similarly, s. 1012.985, F.S., establishes a system of inservice training designed to upgrade the skills of teachers, managers, and administrative personnel. RPDAs are the delivery mechanism in this system. RPDAs collaborate with local business partners to develop training programs, and in turn market those programs to schools in the region.³ The Schultz Center for Teaching and Leadership is the only RPDA established to date.

Initial funding for RPDAs may be provided through start-up funds from the DOE or as otherwise provided in the General Appropriations Act. To be eligible for start-up funds, the RPDA must meet the following criteria:

- Demonstrate collaboration with local business, district school boards, and postsecondary education institutions which may award college credit for courses offered by RPDA programs;
- Demonstrate capacity to improve teaching skills, provide ongoing follow-up and coaching, and meet professional development needs relating to improving student achievement;
- Be operated under contract with its public partners and governed by an independent board;
- Match start-up funds with an equal or greater amount of funding from private sources during its first year of operation, unless the RPDA is operated by a regional educational consortia;
- Demonstrate the ability to be self-supporting within one-year after opening through fees for service, grants, or private funds; and
- Own or lease a facility for providing programs.

The RPDA must contract with participating school districts to provide professional development services and may also market services to other school districts, private schools, or individuals not under contract.

Effect of Proposed Changes:

Currently, a RPDA must be self-sufficient after its first year of operation. House bill 1243 enables RPDAs to receive funding from DOE or as otherwise provided in the General Appropriations Act after their first year of operation. Subsequent funding may be used for the purposes of developing or

¹ Section 1012.98, F.S.

² Id.

³ Section 1012.985, F.S.

expanding existing programs, assessing inservice training or professional development, or to create additional programs.

The bill stipulates that a RPDA is not a component of any school district or governmental unit to which it provides service. However, this will not inhibit the Auditor General's authority⁴ to review agreements between school districts and RPDAs, nor will it exempt RPDAs from public records⁵ laws.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 1012.985, F.S.; providing that a RPDA may receive public funding subsequent to its first year of operation; providing that a RPDA is not part of a school district or governmental entity to which it provides services.

Section 2. Providing an effective date of July 1, 2006.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The fiscal impact of the bill on state expenditures depends on the extent additional public funds are provided by the Department of Education or in the General Appropriations Act. HB 5001, 2006 General Appropriations Act, provides \$350,000 to the Schultz Center for Teaching and Leadership.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Increased public funding may allow RPDAs to expand programs and services, thus generating additional revenues for these public-private partnerships.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

Current law requires that RPDAs be self-sufficient after year one of operation. The bill enables RPDAs to receive additional public funding after year one of operation.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

⁴ Senate Bill Analysis on Senate Bill 1148.

⁵ Florida Department of Education, Legislative Bill Analysis on Senate Bill 1148.

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds. This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities. This bill does not reduce the authority that counties and municipalities have to raise revenue.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

On March 28, 2006, the PreK-12 Committee adopted one amendment to the bill. The amendment provides that the bill's effective date is contingent upon passage of HJR 447 (related to class size reduction and 65% of funding for classroom instruction) during the 2006 Legislative session.

On April 18, 2006, the Education Council adopted one amendment to the bill. The amendment removes the contingent effective date of the bill to provide an effective date of July 1, 2006.

This bill analysis reflects the bill as amended.